



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/898,489	07/05/2001	Naofumi Hirayama	041514-5133	8434
9629 75	590 08/03/2004		EXAM	NER
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			IQBAL, KHAWAR	
WASHINGTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2686	9
			DATE MAILED: 08/03/2004	, ,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Artist Comments	09/898,489	HIRAYAMA ET AL.
· Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
·	Khawar Iqbal	2686
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical if the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory is Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ration. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice un 	This action is non-final. Illowance except for formal mat	· •
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi 5) ☐ Claim(s) 4-20 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the of the oath or declaration is objected to by	,	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in A e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/898,489

Art Unit: 2686

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aarnio (6522889) and further in view of Corbitt et al (6420977).
- 3. Reading claim 1 Aarnio teaches a portable information terminal comprising (figs. 2,3):

a display (19) part for displaying an image (col. 4 lines 22-25);

an image signal receiving part for receiving an image signal from an image pickup part identified by an interior image information providing site and sent via a mobile communication network (col. 3, lines 22-35 and 50-67);

a position detecting signal outputting part which outputs, via a mobile communication network, a position detecting signal representing an own position of said portable information terminal to said interior image information providing site, said position detection signal being used for identifying on an image-pickup part which is located in an area including said own position of said portable information terminal (col.4, line 50-col. 5, lines 25). Aarnio teaches a system (10) provides interaction and communication between a mobile station (12) and a computer network (18), while a location server (22) provides specific and precise mobile station location using

Application/Control Number: 09/898,489

Art Unit: 2686

information from a digital camera (13) capturing digital images of landmarks, street names, buildings etc. This information is converted by an optical characteristics recognition server (20) linked to the Internet (18). Aarnio does not specifically teach an image signal reproducing part, which reproduces, said image signal received by means of said image signal receiving part and makes said display part display said reproduced image.

In an analogous art, Corbitt et al an image signal reproducing part which reproduces said image signal received by means of said image signal receiving part and makes said display part display said reproduced image (col. 5, lines 8-14).

A video monitoring system includes stationary camera devices (110) each having a security video camera (112) and a radio transmitter (114) transmitting a short distance to a display device (120) of a radio receiver (122) and a display (124). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Aarnio by specifically adding features makes the display part display said reproduced image in order to increase system's overall capacity as taught by Corbitt et al.

Reading claim 2 Aarnio teaches wherein said position detecting signal outputting part is a global positioning system (col. 3 line 60-col. 4, line 5).

Reading claim 3 Aarnio teaches wherein said portable information terminal is a portable telephone (fig. 1, element 12).

As to claim 21 it is considered the claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 1.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claim 4-20 are allowed for reasons set forth in the prior office action.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 and 21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KHAWAR IQBAL whose telephone number is 703-306-3015.

Application/Control Number: 09/898,489

Art Unit: 2686

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **BANKS-HAROLD**, **MARSHA**, can be reached at 703-305-4379.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2684 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Khawar Iqbal

CHARLES APPIAH PRIMARY EXAMINER